IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA GREENVILLE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

-versus
GORDON L. HALL,

BENTON T. HALL,

Defendants.

) September 9, 2013

Greenville, SC

) 6:13-170-1

6:13-170-2

TRANSCRIPT OF PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

BEFORE THE HONORABLE J. MICHELLE CHILDS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE, presiding

APPEARANCES:

For the Government: WILLIAM J. WATKINS, JR, AUSA

US Attorney's Office

55 Beattie Place, Ste. 700

Greenville, SC 29601

For Defendant Gordon Hall: BENJAMIN T. STEPP, ESQ.

Federal Public Defender 75 Beattie Place, Ste. 950

Greenville, SC 29601

For Defendant Benton Hall: JESSICA A. SALVINI, ESQ.

Salvini and Bennett 101 W. Park Avenue Greenville, SC 29601

Court Reporter: KAREN E. MARTIN, RMR, CRR

300 E. Washington Street

Room 304

Greenville, SC 29601

The proceedings were taken by mechanical stenography and the transcript produced by computer.

1	Monday, September 9, 2013
2	(WHEREUPON, court was called to order at 10:01 a.m.)
3	MR. WATKINS: Your Honor, we're here for Case
4	No. 6:13-170 for a pretrial conference in the case of
5	United States of America vs. Gordon L. Hall and Benton T.
6	Hall.
7	THE COURT: Thank you.
8	All right. And then at this time Mr. Hall is
9	being represented by whom?
10	MR. GORDON HALL: Mr. Hall is represented here
11	by the un-enfranchised individual trustee for Gordon Hall.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Right now I have Mr. Hall
13	represented by counsel. Who is the counsel of record?
14	MR. STEPP: Ben Stepp appointed for Gordon Hall,
15	Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	MR. GORDON HALL: I conditionally accept that on
18	proof of claim that staff was not terminated. And if this
19	Court did not accept that therefore does not represent
20	Gordon Hall.
21	THE COURT: Okay. At this time he's
22	representing Gordon Hall until the Court deems otherwise.
23	MR. GORDON HALL: And I conditionally accept
24	that under the previous stated terms and conditions.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Right now he is representing

```
1
     you and then we will proceed.
 2
               Who is representing Mr. Benton Hall?
               MR. BENTON HALL: I'm here today as the private
 3
     un-enfranchised individual, the trustee for Defendant
 4
     Benton T. Hall.
 5
 6
               THE COURT: Are you Mr. Benton Hall?
               MR. BENTON HALL: I conditionally accept that
 7
     offer on proof of claim that --
 8
               THE COURT: You accept what offer?
9
               MR. BENTON HALL: On proof of claim --
10
               THE COURT: You accept what offer?
11
12
               MR. BENTON HALL: -- that I'm here today as a
     private un-enfranchised individual and trustee for the
13
14
     Defendant Benton T. Hall. It is not my capacity.
15
               THE COURT: Are you Mr. Benton Hall?
16
               MR. BENTON HALL: I conditionally accept that on
17
     proof of claim I'm not here today as previously stated as
     trustee for the Defendant Benton T. Hall.
18
19
               THE COURT: And, ma'am, you are Ms. Jessica
20
     Salvini?
21
               MS. SALVINI: Yes, Your Honor. For the record
22
     I've been appointed to represent Mr. Benton Hall who is,
     for the record, present in the courtroom.
23
24
               THE COURT: Okay. All right. As well as is
     Mr. Gordon Hall.
25
```

MR. BENTON HALL: And I conditionally accept that on proof of claim she's identified the accused party. And on proof of claim I have stated on -- stated what capacity I'm here today as. And that she hasn't been given notice of her termination that the Court's tasked acquiescence of that doesn't make that record the only record before this Court.

THE COURT: Okay.

Then, United States, let's talk about the pending charges against these gentlemen so that we can proceed with the pretrial conference.

MR. WATKINS: Your Honor, we're currently on a superseding indictment for Mr. Gordon and Benton Hall.

Count 1, alleging a conspiracy to obstruct justice; Counts 2 through 4, a wire fraud, a conspiracy; and finally, Your Honor, Counts 5 through 8 alleging that they violated USC -- 18 USC Section 514 by passing, uttering, filing with the Court fictitious obligations purporting to be obligations of the United States.

THE COURT: Thank you.

All right. At this time we are at a pretrial conference so my original comments are directed to counsel. It is my understanding that both Mr. Gordon Hall and Mr. Benton Hall have undergone psychiatric evaluations and that both evaluations have deemed them competent.

Have you all reviewed their respective evaluations?

MS. SALVINI: Yes, Your Honor. And that was the finding and Judge Austin did enter that as an order of the Court.

THE COURT: Okay. And as to Mr. --

MR. STEPP: Same thing, yes, ma'am, as to Gordon Hall. We've already had a hearing before the magistrate judge and she made a ruling on that -- uncontested, I might add.

MS. SALVINI: I agree, Your Honor. They -- both defendants did agree that they were competent.

THE COURT: Okay. And I am also aware that they have made various filings to the Court, essentially on their own behalf, and they've done so while they've been represented by counsel.

And on Friday this Court issued text orders responding to certain documents filed in ECF specifically informing Mr. Gordon Hall and Mr. Benton Hall that we don't have what you call hybrid representation; which means that once you are represented by counsel, you cannot also be representing yourself. And that the Court does not have to look to or discuss at all or even consider those documents because you can't have the Court responding to documents by a pro se party -- alleged pro se party and then someone who is also represented by

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

counsel. In fact, it is unethical for the Court to engage in correspondence or communications with someone who is represented by counsel. So I placed those orders in the file so that there would be no more filings by your clients, who they are your clients at this point in time until the Court deems otherwise in that regard. Have you discussed those orders with your clients? MR. STEPP: Your Honor, I provided a copy of ECF Filing No. 127 and I think it's -- excuse me, 126 and 129 regarding Gordon Hall to my client. And I believe he's read them. I told him that they were here and I provided them to him. And he asked if he could look at them himself. And I would add, Judge, that he has from the -from -- from I guess before he got to South Carolina from Arizona, he had put the Court on notice that he did not wish to have court-appointed counsel. THE COURT: And we want to address that momentarily. MR. STEPP: Okay. THE COURT: But right now they understand that until otherwise they are not to file any documents. Do you understand that, Mr. Gordon Hall? MR. GORDON HALL: Excuse me, Judge, for a I conditionally accept the offers that -- you moment.

1 know, I understand that you have put in a text or is that 2 you, Judge, that has put in that text? 3 THE COURT: Yes. 4 MR. GORDON HALL: That text offer. THE COURT: It's not an offer, it's an order. 5 6 MR. GORDON HALL: Excuse me, Judge, that text 7 order. And I conditionally accept it has not been the private un-enfranchised individual trustee for Gordon Hall 8 that's been filing those documents and that doesn't have a 9 right do that, that it wouldn't be -- to deny those 10 11 wouldn't be a denial of due process and equal protection 12 under the law in light of the notice has been given -proper notice has been given to public defenders and the 13 courts as to termination of said services. And the 14 15 question I have, Judge, are you telling me I can't resolve 16 this matter without an attorney receiving public benefits? 17 THE COURT: Right now you are represented by 18 counsel. So you cannot file any documents other than 19 through your counsel unless there is some claim against counsel. Right now I'm just talking about just general 20 21 requests of the Court. Your counsel has to provide that 22 to the Court. The Court can't respond to both you and your counsel. 23 24 I do recognize that you have some type of 25 filings regarding your desire to terminate counsel.

going to deal with that today as well. But I just wanted to let you know that the Court saw various filings -- extensive, voluminous filings by both you, Mr. Gordon Hall, and Mr. Benton Hall, and then put a text order in saying that we don't engage in hybrid representation, which means you can't file certain things and then allow your attorneys to file certain things. The Court can't be responding to both of you. It's unethical for me to communicate with you when you are represented by counsel, as it would be anyone to communicate with you when you are represented by counsel.

MR. GORDON HALL: That makes good sense, Judge, and that's why I conditionally accept it. If there's any representation on proof of claim that a proper notice wasn't given for termination and the fact that the Court understood and knew the intention of the private un-enfranchised individuals, trustees for those defendants and understood the situation that they were terminated and that the Court tacitly acquiesced as well as the attorneys tacitly acquiesced to said termination, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. And the Court did not acquiesce to any termination. I haven't ruled on the termination. I just made you all aware that the filings have occurred and we will address that in due course of this proceeding.

```
1
               MR. GORDON HALL: Without too much difficulty,
 2
     Judge, whose case is this? Is this not the defendant's
 3
     case?
               THE COURT: It is the defendant's case.
 4
               MR. GORDON HALL:
                                 So the case -- the
 5
 6
     defendant -- does the defendant not have a right to
     terminate counsel?
 7
               THE COURT: We will discuss that. But what I'm
 8
     saying right now is as long as you are represented by
9
10
     counsel, you have to allow your counsel to file
     appropriate papers that they believe are competent
11
12
     documents with this Court.
13
               MR. GORDON HALL: That's assuming we had
14
     counsel. And let the record show that there was no
     counsel. Counsel was terminated. Nor can the Court
15
16
     compel -- are you suggesting you can compel me to contract
17
     without permitting the right of counteroffer?
               THE COURT: We have not discussed your motion.
18
19
     Right now they are still your counsel. You still have
20
     counsel. We're going to discuss your motion in this
21
     proceeding in due course. Okay?
               MR. GORDON HALL: And I understand that.
22
23
     appreciate that, Judge. However, I didn't motion. I gave
24
     notice of termination as it relates to the -- for the
25
     defendant or myself.
```

THE COURT: But I -- there are still due process issues that I have to engage in to make sure that you are aware of being competent, that I believe that you have the ability to proceed on your own. There's a process that has to go forward in that regard before I could actually allow you to be your own counsel of record.

MR. GORDON HALL: Well, and I appreciate the opportunity. Proof of claim and competency by -- that my remedy doesn't constitute competency and therefore self-evident making the offer of counsel previously moot. And it wouldn't be a trespass on the said contract and a tortious interference with contractual relations.

THE COURT: Understood that that's your position.

Okay. All right. And then Mr. Benton Hall.

MS. SALVINI: Yes, Your Honor, I did provide him with a copy of the text orders that were given to me by the Court. And I did see him on Friday explaining what I believe that the Court's ruling was going to be. He -- I presume that he read them while we were waiting for Your Honor to come out.

MR. BENTON HALL: Let the record show I'm here today as previously stated as the private un-enfranchised individual, trustee for the Defendant Benton T. Hall. And I conditionally accept everything on proof of claim that I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
haven't given notice to this Court of the termination of
attorney. And that in light of the plaintiff's acceptance
of the tender satisfying the plaintiff's claims in the
instant case, I don't hereby affirm under penalty of
perjury that I'm authorizing a plea and discharge for the
defendant. And therefore making any more proceedings
other than the plaintiff to show cause why they shouldn't
be -- why they shouldn't be obligated to perform by the
intentions made manifest with the record before this Court
to hereby declare that these facts are true, correct, and
complete.
         THE COURT: Okay. And do you understand that we
are here for criminal proceedings not civil proceedings?
         MR. BENTON HALL: I conditionally accept that on
proof of claim that the plaintiff's acceptance of the
tender doesn't make that moot.
         THE COURT: But you understand we are here on
criminal proceedings, not civil proceedings, and that the
alleged offenses that you are purporting to the Court go
to civil claims? And there are no -- I'm not here on
civil claims with respect to your matters.
         MR. BENTON HALL: Are you suggesting that the
plaintiff doesn't have the right to contract?
         THE COURT: I'm not saying --
```

Karen E. Martin, RMR, CRR US District Court District of South Carolina

MR. BENTON HALL: They don't have the right to

change their minds, and that the record before this Court doesn't show a satisfaction of their claims in the instant case?

THE COURT: There is no satisfaction of claim.

You have pending indictments against you that indicate specific criminal offenses that have been heretofore stated by Mr. Bill Watkins, an Assistant US Attorney. He just acknowledged to the Court what the pending indictments are that they will proceed against you in terms of criminal court.

MR. BENTON HALL: Are you telling me there is an unresolved charging or accusatory instrument in this matter?

THE COURT: There is no instrument. It is an indictment. It is the formal written charge that brings this claim to court. Your claims have gone before a grand jury and it is the formal process by which we will proceed in this matter.

MR. BENTON HALL: I conditionally accept all of that on proof of claim that the plaintiff performing their obligations with respect to the contract before this Court doesn't make that moot. And that they aren't -- they don't have the right to contract. That I'm not here today to resolve any other unresolved charging or accusatory instruments for my inspection and execution so that this

Court may have remedy so as to save valuable public resources.

THE COURT: Okay. And then right now we are at a pretrial conference in which they -- the Government has let you know what the formal charges are against you. At this pretrial conference, I need to know whether you intend to plead guilty or plead not guilty and go to a trial.

MR. BENTON HALL: Well, Your Honor, I'll authorize a plea of guilty to the well-pled facts so long as there's no jail time, no supervised release, all fines and penalties are adjusted in accordance with the tender, and there's no prejudice to any of the rights, titles, or interests of the defendant.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me tell you how it works in criminal court. If you enter into a plea of guilty, it is because you are deeming yourself guilty of the facts that are in the indictment as well as any factual basis that supports the indictment. Sentencing, however, is left up to the Court. I could not tell you that your sentence would be as you have relayed, no jail time, no supervised release, or any of these other methods.

I will not know that until we get a Presentence
Investigation Report in which the probation department
would interview yourself, interview any appropriate family

members, interview co-defendants, determine what your criminal history is and then come up with a sentencing guideline calculation which would then give me a potential recommendation for sentencing. So I don't know what that recommendation will be. This is not the time for the actual sentencing.

You have the ability to enter into a plea agreement with the Government which shall lay out certain factors and agreements between you and the Government. But sentencing is entirely left up to the Court. The presentence investigation document serves as a guideline or recommendation to the Court giving me all of your history and factors that I should consider. But sentencing is ultimately left up to the Court, not the parties.

MR. BENTON HALL: Well, Your Honor --

MS. SALVINI: Your Honor, I'm sorry, I'm going to have to interrupt Mr. Hall for just a moment. I do want to state for the record that after meeting with Mr. Benton Hall on Friday afternoon, I did speak to Mr. Watkins. And so I want to state for the record that a plea offer has been made to Mr. Benton Hall since he is indicating that he desires to plead guilty with conditions. But for him to consider if he pleads guilty to Count 1, which carries zero to five years and does

require supervised release, the United States Attorney would be willing to cap his sentence at five years.

That doesn't necessarily mean, Mr. Hall, that you would receive five years. But the offer is to plead guilty to Count 1, the obstruction charge, which would carry zero to five and would require some supervised release.

But I'm stating that for the record just so that I meet my ethical obligation of relaying the plea offer.

MR. BENTON HALL: Your Honor, I don't deny nor affirm any of the allegations in the charges the plaintiff has brought forth. I merely accept them for what they are.

And let the record show that I've authorized a plea and discharge to be in accordance with the tender that satisfies the plaintiff's claims, including this superseding indictment that they're stating herein. And any further proceedings won't be merely for the purpose for the plaintiff to show cause why their acceptance of the tender doesn't -- and their -- their refusal -- their failing to fulfill their obligations thereto doesn't constitute default and bad faith.

THE COURT: Okay.

One moment, Mr. Gordon Hall. Please sit down.

Let me finish with Mr. Benton Hall.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You have been relayed an offer by the Government with respect to your counsel. So is it your intention at this time to further discuss this offer with your counsel, Ms. Jessica Salvini? MR. BENTON HALL: I conditionally accept that, Your Honor, on proof of claim they haven't been -- that Ms. Salvini hasn't been given notice of termination. And that anything the plaintiffs would like to discuss about the matters re -- matters regarding the Defendant Benton T. Hall, that they can't come to me privately. THE COURT: My question to you is do you intend to retain her as counsel while you discuss this plea agreement? MR. BENTON HALL: I conditionally accept that on proof of claim she hasn't been given notice of her termination. THE COURT: Okay. So my understanding from his comments are that Ms. Salvini shall remain as counsel for Mr. Benton Hall to discuss further the plea offer in this regard. So he is indicating right now that he potentially intends to enter a plea of guilty. MR. BENTON HALL: Excuse me. THE COURT: Yes? MR. BENTON HALL: Excuse me. I'm -- your

> Karen E. Martin, RMR, CRR US District Court District of South Carolina

question was whether I'm intending to do what with the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Government privately.

attorney again? My apologies. Could you repeat that? THE COURT: To have her remain as your counsel while you all discuss potential -- this plea agreement and your potentially entering into this plea agreement. MR. BENTON HALL: Well, as to remain the defendant's counsel, no, that is -- that is not my intention. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then we will discuss that. So at this point do you not intend to plead guilty? Is that what I'm hearing? MR. BENTON HALL: Well, I'll authorize the plea of quilty to the well-pled facts so long as it's in accordance with the tender and there's no jail time, no supervised release, all fines and penalties are adjusted in accordance with the tender, and there's no prejudice to any of the rights, titles, or interests of the defendant. Otherwise, I'm authorizing a plea and discharge for the plaintiff's acceptance of the tender and their fulfillment of the obligations thereto. THE COURT: Do you intend to continue with the services of Ms. Jessica Salvini as you try to negotiate this plea agreement with the Government? MR. BENTON HALL: As she -- as she -- my intentions are to resolve this between me and the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. that means you would like the Court to consider you being able to represent yourself. MR. BENTON HALL: I conditionally accept that on proof of claim I'm not the private un-enfranchised individual and trustee for the defendant. THE COURT: Okay. And as to Mr. Gordon Hall, today is your pretrial hearing. Did you intend to plead guilty or not guilty or go to trial? MR. GORDON HALL: I'm authorizing entry of plea and discharge. And I state and affirm under penalty of perjury that the defendant has previously satisfied and discharged the plaintiff's claim in accordance with plaintiff's acceptance of the tender which has been accepted and not returned, good consideration -- a question, Judge, without troubling you much? Pretrial, is it not an informal meeting at which opposing parties confer, usually with the judge, to work -- to work toward disposition of the case by discussing matters of evidence and narrowing the issues that are to be tried? THE COURT: The parties would generally work with each other. The judge, unless necessary, does not

> Karen E. Martin, RMR, CRR US District Court District of South Carolina

get involved in those discussions.

MR. GORDON HALL: Correct. So I understand we're here for a pretrial conference. That's Black's definition verbatim. So on that basis, I'd like to work with -- work -- or confer with the plaintiff so that we can work toward disposition of this case by discussing matters of evidence and narrowing the issues.

THE COURT: Okay. At this time you're represented by Mr. Ben Stepp, who would be a party to those conversations. Is it your intention to continue that representation with Mr. Stepp while you attempt to work out any arrangement with the Government?

MR. GORDON HALL: Mr. Stepp is, I'm sure, a very competent attorney. As he's accepted and been noticed as to termination, and this Court was given it, he's not currently now attorney for the defendant or myself. And so to continue is perhaps a misnomer. But do I want to reconsider allowing him as a public defender on behalf of the defendant? And the answer's at this time I don't feel the need to. So he has not been representing the defendant nor myself. And therefore I've come here today for a pretrial conference with the plaintiff.

I didn't ask the attorneys to re-engage since their termination back several months ago and even before. And therefore, you know, we've come here for a pretrial conference. I accept that offer. I would like to meet

with the plaintiff to discuss in accordance thereto what a pretrial conference is for.

THE COURT: Okay. Right now you're represented by counsel. You've both indicated that you no longer wish to be represented by counsel. The Court has to engage in a formal process, which means that I would place you under oath, ask you certain questions, and make sure that I am okay with your ability to proceed in your own defense, make sure that you are aware of your rights in that regard. So I will set this for a separate proceeding to deal with those particular issues.

MR. GORDON HALL: I do appreciate that offer,

Judge. You're very kind. However, this being, as you termed, my case, they have been terminated. And I conditionally accept any offer to -- on competency on proof of claim that the remedy that's been provided and accepted by the plaintiff doesn't constitute competency.

Can you tell me that they're not required to fulfill their obligations of contract having -- having accepted the tender? Are you suggesting that they're not obligated --

THE COURT: I'm not suggesting anything. I'm not suggesting anything.

MR. GORDON HALL: Okay.

THE COURT: And right now we're dealing with the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

process about whether or not these two competent lawyers would remain as your counsel. You've indicated that you desire for them not to. Is there any reason related to them being somehow ineffective, somehow not communicating with you, is there any reason in that regard or do you just independently desire to represent yourself? MR. GORDON HALL: Well, again, they were terminated. So my opinion is -- not just my opinion, is there any record in opposition to that termination? So let the record show they were terminated many months ago. They're not currently attorneys for --THE COURT: But the Court still has a process by which it will determine whether or not it will let you proceed on your own. I have to go through that process. MR. GORDON HALL: Well, then, I think I conditionally accept that offer, again, under the previously stated terms and conditions. THE COURT: Okay. But you didn't answer my original question. Your desire to terminate Mr. Stepp, does that have anything to do with him not being a competent attorney, or failure to communicate with you, or being ineffective in some regard? Or are you just desiring to represent yourself? MR. GORDON HALL: Well, I don't think being

> Karen E. Martin, RMR, CRR US District Court District of South Carolina

before the public I conditionally accept the offer to

```
1
     represent myself or the defendant --
 2
               THE COURT: So is your answer no, that
 3
     there's -- you don't have any problems with Mr. Stepp, you
 4
     just instead desire to represent yourself?
               MR. GORDON HALL: My problem is -- excuse me,
 5
     Judge. Allow me a moment here. My problem is that
 6
     Mr. Stepp was terminated long before.
 7
               THE COURT: So you didn't -- you terminated him.
 8
     But did you terminate him for any particular reason other
9
     than your desire to represent yourself?
10
11
               MR. GORDON HALL: Well, not represent myself.
12
     But if -- if -- I -- was he not terminated -- I
     terminated Mr. Stepp because I have the right to resolve
13
     the matter. Do I not?
14
15
               THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. I just want to
16
     know why. In other words, you're terminating him not for
17
     any particular reason as to any dilatory actions or any
     bad faith or anything that he's done. It is just,
18
19
     instead, it appears to me, your desire to represent
     yourself. Is that correct?
20
21
               MR. GORDON HALL: Your position -- your comments
22
     about Mr. Stepp's competency, as far as I'm concerned
     Mr. Stepp is probably an extremely competent attorney,
23
24
     probably very efficient. I've not had the benefit of any
     of his services, so I couldn't tell you. But from what
25
```

```
1
     I've heard, he's quite an excellent attorney. I have no
 2
     problem with him going out and representing whomever he
     would like.
 3
 4
               THE COURT: Okay. So you have no complaints
     against Mr. Stepp; is that correct?
 5
 6
               MR. GORDON HALL: I have no complaints against
 7
     Mr. Stepp.
               THE COURT:
 8
                           Okay.
               MR. GORDON HALL: Other than if he's going to --
9
     if he's representing either myself or the defendant in
10
11
     this case, then I would have a concern as to his tortious
12
     interference with contractual relations between myself and
     the plaintiff. And --
13
14
               THE COURT: You said if he were to represent
15
     you. But no complaints at this moment in time against
16
     Mr. Stepp; is that correct?
17
               MR. GORDON HALL: If he's been assuming that
     he's on this case, then I would have a concern as to his
18
     tortious -- I would consider that a tortious interference
19
20
     with contractual relations --
21
               THE COURT: And let me interject here.
22
     Mr. Stepp remains on the case until the Court can
23
     effectively terminate him, me terminate him myself.
               MR. GORDON HALL: Well, the Court has terminated
24
25
     him.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I have not terminated him. This is what this proceeding is about. Up until this date, they showed up in court because they still represent you. MR. GORDON HALL: Is this proceeding -- excuse me, Judge. THE COURT: This proceeding is a pretrial proceeding, but it also is dealing with pending motions. And so one of your pending motions is whether or not you wish -- your desire to terminate counsel. So he is still here representing you today until I terminate him. MR. GORDON HALL: I conditionally accept that on proof of claim that that's not a motion before the Court. That's a notice to the Court that he has been terminated. THE COURT: The Court will still engage in the formal proceeding that I indicated to you earlier about your ability to represent yourself. And you've indicated to me that you desire to represent yourself. You no longer wish Mr. Stepp to be your counsel. And I just wanted to make sure you have no complaints against Mr. Stepp. And I haven't heard any. MR. GORDON HALL: Well, you -- you -- you --I -- I -- if you're saying that he's still on the case and he considers himself on the case in light of the termination notice that he has received, then in that case

I would have a complaint for tortious interference with

```
1
     prospective -- um -- prospective contractual relations.
 2
               THE COURT: What proof of any of that do you
     have?
 3
 4
               MR. GORDON HALL: Is there any record in
     opposition to that notice of termination? But, excuse me,
 5
     Judge, perhaps this is all moot in light of the tender, in
 6
 7
     light of my -- the plaintiff's acceptance of my tender,
     which has satisfied and discharged the plaintiff's claims
 8
     in Case No. 6:13-00170 and doesn't make all of this moot.
9
10
               THE COURT: Okay. All right. I'm hearing no
     complaints against Mr. Stepp. And so now the next process
11
12
     will be when I set a formal hearing to determine your
     ability to represent yourself.
13
               MR. GORDON HALL: Excuse me?
14
15
               THE COURT: Yes.
16
               MR. GORDON HALL: Again, if Mr. Stepp has
17
     considered himself as still being on this case in light of
     the termination, I conditionally accept that on proof of
18
19
     claim that that's not a tortious interference, wouldn't
     constitute a complaint where I wanted to complain.
20
21
               However, in light of the plaintiff's acceptance
22
     of the tender which has satisfied and discharged the
     plaintiff's claim in this instant matter, doesn't it make
23
24
     all of that moot, whether I'm going to represent myself,
25
     whether that -- and again, I'm here --
```

THE COURT: We're not here on the substantive matters of your case right at this moment. We're here about whether you wish to plead guilty or not and not on your particular defenses.

MR. GORDON HALL: And I --

THE COURT: And then we're also here on whether or not you wish to retain Mr. Stepp. You've indicated you do not. So the Court will give you a date for a formal hearing in that matter so that I can advise you of your rights at that time.

MR. GORDON HALL: Well, I conditionally accept that isn't all moot based on the tender that's been accepted that this matter has been resolved.

THE COURT: The matter has not been resolved, because you have not pled guilty nor have you indicated that you -- your innocence and desire to go to trial. So the matter has not been resolved. I have a pending indictment with this court.

MR. GORDON HALL: And I conditionally accept that on proof of claim that I haven't put in and authorized an entry of a plea and discharge. And under -- under -- what do you call it? Under penalty of perjury, stated that the defendant has previously satisfied and discharged the plaintiff's claims in this instant case.

THE COURT: I understand that that's the

allegation or defense that you'd like to assert. So I've heard your position, respectfully.

All right. Then as to Mr. Benton Hall, with respect to Ms. Jessica Salvini, do you have any complaints against Ms. Salvini? I understand that you want to dismiss her as your attorney. But do you have complaints against her?

MR. BENTON HALL: Well, Your Honor, again, I conditionally accept on proof of the private un-enfranchised individual trustee for the defendant, Benton T. Hall, and as of this time I don't have any complaints against her.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. So I am going to set a separate hearing date to go over your rights to proceed in your own representation and to make sure that you understand those particular rights.

MR. BENTON HALL: And I conditionally accept that on proof of claim any future hearings won't be -- won't be for me to appear in the capacity as a private un-enfranchised individual trustee for the defendant, Benton T. Hall, to resolve any matters before this Court. And that any future hearings wouldn't be merely for the purpose of the plaintiff to appear and show cause why their acceptance of the tender and obligations thereto that have been made and their intentions made manifest

1 before the record of this Court won't be merely for that 2 purpose. 3 THE COURT: Okay. At this time --4 MR. GORDON HALL: Excuse me, Judge. Forgive me for interrupting. And I conditionally accept your offer 5 for future hearings on proof of claim that the tender --6 THE COURT: Let me understand -- let me make 7 sure you understand. I am making no offers to you. 8 I am stating in this court is what the next hearing date 9 will be, and that you'll be ordered to appear in your 10 11 capacity as the defendants represented on the particular 12 indictment. 13 I don't have these other persons in other 14 capacities on any indictment before the Court. So there's 15 no jurisdiction over whoever you deem these other persons 16 to be. I have Gordon Hall and Benton Hall, and those are the defendants who will appear in this court. 17 18 Now, Mr. Watkins --19 I heard from you, sir. 20 Now, Mr. Watkins. 21 MR. WATKINS: Ma'am. THE COURT: You've heard now that both 22 defendants indicate that they wish to dismiss their 23 24 counsel. So at this time the pretrial hearing itself would not go forward. 25

It's the Court's suggestion that we enter into a separate hearing date to inform them of their rights and their ability to proceed in their own regard and then whether or not the Court would also attempt to order shadow counsel for purposes of a potential trial in this case. But I'd also like to go ahead and discuss the potential trial date today.

MR. WATKINS: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Because at least right now I do not have them indicating that they wish to plead guilty.

There is some indication that they intend to continue to negotiate, but they're not pleading guilty today.

MR. WATKINS: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Is there anything else in light of all the conversation that we've had with the gentlemen today that you'd like to add to the record in terms of any response to any of their comments?

MR. WATKINS: No, ma'am. I'm agreeable with the Court's suggestion that we set a Faretta hearing since I think all indications are they desire to represent themselves and do not desire to plead guilty to the indictment. And we should do that as well as look at trial dates, Your Honor. I agree with you.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, they continually represent that they've made some type of offer to you that

you have defaulted upon. And if you can bring the Court up to speed in that regard.

MR. WATKINS: Yes, ma'am. Benton Hall and Gordon Hall, as Your Honor's seen by the docket, have submitted numerous filings. From the Government's understanding of these filings, it is their belief that rather than the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, case law as interpreted by the courts, and the statutes at issue listed in the indictment, that they believe some general principles of a Uniform Commercial Code applies. And that if they serve me with a document, and frankly, fictitious bonds or money orders as the Government's brought counts against them for doing that in other cases, that if I don't respond to them as some sort of merchant in the trade, that I have accepted that offer and they're to be set at liberty.

As the Government has indicated in its previous filings with this Court citing case law, this redemption theory, this commerce theory has been rejected by numerous courts where sovereign citizens, such as the Halls, have raised it. I've not entered into any kind of contract with them. Your Honor, if we were to enter into a plea agreement, it would have to be according to Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and, you know, certainly no Uniform Commercial Code.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So this tender that they keep talking about is essentially these documents, these set-off bonds that they've sent to the Court. And they claim by the fact that I've not responded within whatever allotted period of time they think I should have, that I've accepted them, and that you should dismiss the case. Because in their view, all law is contract law and they don't recognize a distinction between civil and criminal proceedings. Of course, the Government disagrees with that. And as Your Honor indicated in the text order, I've not seen any valid support for such arguments because, frankly, none exist. THE COURT: Okay. And, Madam Clerk, if you wouldn't mind, I want you to pull up the text order that I issued with respect to the various filings and the allegations. And I just want to state that on the record again. And I just would like Mr. Gordon Hall and Mr. Benton Hall to stand. I want to remind you -gentlemen, if you would please stand. MR. BENTON HALL: I conditionally accept on proof of statute --THE COURT: There is nothing to accept. MR. GORDON HALL: As previously stated --

> Karen E. Martin, RMR, CRR US District Court District of South Carolina

Okay. All right. I want to remind

THE COURT:

you that we discussed earlier in this hearing about that there is no constitutional right to hybrid representation. And therefore, in the text order we stated that the district court is not obligated to consider pro se motions by represented litigants. And you are currently, until this moment, represented by Ms. Salvini and Mr. Stepp.

MR. GORDON HALL: I conditionally --

THE COURT: We issued a separate text order in which we acknowledge that you had numerous filings along the lines of those discussed by Mr. Watkins. And that in that particular text order --

I'm sorry, Madam Clerk, it's a different -- oh,
I'm sorry, you got it.

In that particular text order we specifically indicated that we are aware of all these various filings containing assertions that the criminal action or these indictments that are pending against you should be dismissed because the United States has accepted an offer of real and personal property from you. And we also indicated that it appears that you believe that you have reasons for dismissal and that those are meritorious reasons.

But we also informed you that we are unaware of any legal support for your assertions. Because again, at the beginning of the proceedings, I indicated that there

is a distinction between a civil matter and a criminal matter. The asserted allegations or defenses you're attempting to assert apply in a civil matter. And you have pending criminal indictments against you.

So the Government's failure to respond does not necessarily indicate any particular default on their behalf. They are not responding because it's not something that is related to a criminal defense or something that they can acknowledge as a criminal defense in this matter.

And I understand that you are -- were proceeding as your own -- in your mind representing yourselves although you have been represented by counsel. But the Court has informed you that those particular alleged legal assertions or defenses to these allegations in the indictments against you, we have also not been aware of any particular legal authority that supports that you can defend yourself in that manner because these are assertions that apply to a civil court. And this is not civil court. This is a criminal court with pending criminal indictments against you.

So I just want you to make sure that you understand that that's the Government's response and that the Court has also responded in that regard so that you do not continue with these types of filings in that regard.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GORDON HALL: I conditionally accept that offer reiterating all of the previous conditional acceptances because you brought up so many issues here in this last discussion here for the last minute or two that you've been going on without me being able to remember each and every one of them and deal with them. reiterate again the previous stated conditional acceptances to each one of those issues that you brought up as well as, again, that this Court or any state or any body can pass any law impairing the obligation of contract as protected by -- as guaranteed and protected by the United States Constitution. And that the plaintiff hasn't -- has not and in fact has entered into a contract wherein they have accepted a tender --THE COURT: And what is the tender you're referring to? MR. GORDON HALL: Exactly -- is it not what you have read in there? Did they not accept real and personal property? And therefore, are they not obligated under contracts to discharge or fulfill their obligations of contract? Unless you're --THE COURT: What are you considering the obligations of contract? MR. GORDON HALL: Is it not in the record, Judge?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. MR. GORDON HALL: Is the record not clear that, number one, that they have accepted the tender, which has satisfied and agreed thereby that it has satisfied and discharged plaintiff's claim in the instant case --THE COURT: And let me just ask you, Mr. Watkins, have they forfeited property? MR. WATKINS: Your Honor, they've not cooperated at all. We've seized property when we executed a search and seizure warrant. But no, they've not cooperated at all in these proceedings. THE COURT: Okay. I was just trying to get a sense of what they're referring to. MR. GORDON HALL: I think you asked a question, whether they -- whether we had tendered property. Is the plaintiff's position that he's denying having received quitclaim deeds to property as well as real and personal? Is he denying that? Plaintiff? Are you denying that you

THE COURT: He doesn't have to respond in that regard. Okay. I just wanted to understand what the claim is about. That's okay. All right. So now --

have received quitclaim deeds?

MR. GORDON HALL: Tender was made and accepted and therefore a contract is formed. And we have a situation here and I conditionally accept that the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

situation isn't that by acceptance has not created a binding contract. And that the plaintiff is not obligated to fulfill its obligations of contract thereto and respect to said tender. THE COURT: Okay. All right. At this proceeding, obviously, you're represented by counsel. then you've given us notice that you no longer intend to be represented by counsel. You indicated there's no complaints against counsel. I'm going to go ahead and set your next hearing date so that we can discuss your ability to represent yourself. MR. GORDON HALL: Judge, please don't misrepresent that we've accepted that they've represented either us or the defendants up to this point. Our

position has been in accordance with the record before the Court.

THE COURT: But you were appointed counsel? Is that correct? You were appointed counsel by the Court?

MR. GORDON HALL: The -- the record is clear that the counsel that you're referring to was terminated long before today.

THE COURT: Okay. But my question to you is that you were appointed counsel by the Court?

MR. GORDON HALL: The defendant at one point in time may have been appointed counsel by the Court, but it

1 was immediately terminated so that they --2 THE COURT: I understand. But the point I 3 make -- you were appointed counsel by the Court so they 4 were just fulfilling their duties and obligations with respect to the appointment. 5 6 MR. GORDON HALL: The defendant may have been appointed counsel months ago. And simultaneously a 7 termination notice was put in so that there would be --8 9 THE COURT: But my question is only whether or not you were appointed counsel. 10 11 So they were appointed and they were fulfilling 12 their duties and obligations to this point. I find that there are no complaints with respect to their 13 14 representation. MR. GORDON HALL: Well, not up to this point --15 16 THE COURT: Now let's proceed on. 17 MR. GORDON HALL: -- and at the other previous, 18 but go ahead, Judge. 19 THE COURT: Let's proceed on. The next hearing 20 date, Madam Clerk, for the Faretta hearing in which these 21 defendants will be advised of their rights and I will 22 place them under oath to ask them certain questions. And then also make a determination as to whether or not you 23 24 will be able to proceed in representing yourself and/or

Karen E. Martin, RMR, CRR
US District Court
District of South Carolina

whether or not I will have standby counsel for you.

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And to the extent that you do not have any further complaints against these particular counsel, it is possible that if I allow you to proceed on your own, that I would still potentially appoint them as standby counsel, which means that they would still sit at counsel table. And to the extent that there is any question that you might want to ask them about procedure or various things going on in or around the court or any questions about various things, they would still be there and available to answer your questions, although you would still be allowed to represent yourself if that's the Court's finding at that time. MR. GORDON HALL: That's a very kind offer, Judge, to offer standby counsel. However, are you telling me now that I cannot resolve this matter without an attorney receiving public benefit? Yes or no. THE COURT: At this point in time, I will need to advise you of your right to proceed on your own. then I'll make the determination. Let me hear from counsel. Right now, they do not intend to have you continue to represent them. So I'm going to -- do you see any reason why I could not terminate you at this point? MS. SALVINI: The only issue that I have, Your

> Karen E. Martin, RMR, CRR US District Court District of South Carolina

Honor, is that I've been provided a banker's size box of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

documents. And I've provided several CDs that would require a laptop to be able to have Mr. Hall -- Benton Hall hear the tape-recorded calls the Government has provided as well as to review the documents. So at this point, I'm happy to remain on as standby counsel. I'm happy to assist in making sure that Mr. Benton Hall can review these items and make arrangements to be there in the jail for him to take a look at them.

The only issue that I see with Mr. Benton Hall not having counsel, despite his objection, is his misunderstanding about what laws apply in this type of court setting. So, for example, Mr. Gordon Hall is reciting to the Court Black's Law Dictionary or something he's read about pretrial conferences in civil court where the parties confer and sometimes informally with the judge, versus what happens in a criminal court at a pretrial conference dealing with motions and scheduling and setting schedules for a jury selection and motions in limine and those sorts of things. I don't think that Mr. Benton Hall understands evidentiary issues or the fact this is a criminal court, nor does he really understand or care to want to listen to me about the elements the Government has to prove with respect to each charge, what their burden is, and therefore how to defend against it.

Karen E. Martin, RMR, CRR
US District Court
District of South Carolina

So those are my only concerns with that regard.

The discovery, how that's handled, and whether or not Mr. Benton Hall understands the elements the Government's going to have to prove at trial in order to be able to successfully defend against them.

I can certainly raise his defense of we provided all this to the Government. They've received all this real property or they've seized it, therefore we shouldn't be in trouble, we should not be held responsible or be found guilty of obstruction of justice. And the instruments we filed we believe were correct or valid or whatever the case may be. He can certainly raise that as a defense. But that still doesn't speak to each element the Government has to prove and whether or not he's able to do that at a trial to cross-examine witnesses effectively for that purpose.

I -- unfortunately, and I mean this with no disrespect to him, I think what the Court's going to hear every time a witness gets on the witness stand, I conditionally accept that you've made this statement to the jury upon proof that the tender wasn't already accepted by the plaintiff and therefore this action is moot and it should be discharged. I don't think a jury is going to even understand what that means since it doesn't apply in this courtroom. Nor would any jury instruction to them explain what that means because it doesn't apply

in this courtroom setting. It may not even apply in a civil setting. But nevertheless, it does not apply to the elements the Government has to prove on obstruction of justice, which is the first count.

THE COURT: So --

MR. BENTON HALL: Excuse me, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- but then --

One moment.

But then back to the question about being able to be terminated now at this proceeding until we get to the next proceeding, I'd like to hear from counsel.

MR. WATKINS: Your Honor, if I could just put one thing on the record? I would at a minimum request that the Court, I understand we're going to have a Faretta hearing at another time which considers the right of these men to represent themselves. The Government would urge, at a minimum, that these two lawyers remain as standby counsel. One is we have a discovery order in this case that Judge Austin put in place early on. You know, they might choose not to participate in the proceedings. But as Ms. Salvini has represented, I've turned over thousands of documents as well as CDs with bank records and recorded conversations relevant to the case. And for these men to be able to review those, in light of a proper discovery order in this case, whether it be Ms. Salvini herself or a

paralegal bringing a computer, for example, into the jail so Mr. Hall could listen to those if he chose, I think they would be needed for that, Your Honor.

And the Government will move in limine at the appropriate time that these -- while arguably Ms. Salvini pointed out that Mr. Hall might say, well, I really believe these fictitious instruments were legitimate, I disagree with that but that might be a possible defense to a 514 charge.

But this conditional acceptance and tender and UCC, the Government will move in limine that that's not part of this case. The Government -- that's not a valid defense in this case. And frankly, if they're -- intend to --

 $\mbox{\bf THE COURT:}\ \mbox{ And I will assume -- I assume that}$ you would do that sooner rather than later.

MR. WATKINS: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WATKINS: And you know, frankly, I've got -- I know we're not at the Faretta hearing, but I've got the -- some recent law from the Fourth Circuit, actually an August 9, 2013 order that discusses the Government's interests in ensuring the integrity and efficiency of the trial at time outweighs the defendant's interest in acting as his own lawyer. And, of course, it indicates the Court

must determine that an assertion of the rights of self-representation is clear and unequivocal where the defendant's actions suggest a desire to delay or manipulate the system more than a desire to represent one's self.

Frankly, Your Honor, what I'm hearing today is desire to manipulate the system. These contract claims, and I question -- I just want to put that out there. I question whether self-representation would indeed be appropriate in light of the Government's interests in this claim. I know we're not there today, but I just wanted to put the Court on notice of that. But, Your Honor, I do agree counsel is necessary for discovery purposes. And we'll be ready to go forward at a Faretta hearing on their representation.

THE COURT: Okay. And give me the case cite, please?

MR. WATKINS: Sure. I'll give you this case if you'd like, Your Honor. For the record it is United States vs. Tucker. August 9, 2013. 2013 WL 4034472. It's a Fourth Circuit case with Judges Traxler, Gregory, and Thacker ruling.

THE COURT: Thank you. Okay. All right. So

I'm hearing you to state that allow Mr. Stepp and

Ms. Salvini to remain on as standby counsel until that

1 hearing so that all discovery documents remain in their 2 possession. 3 MR. WATKINS: Yes, ma'am. 4 THE COURT: Okav. MR. STEPP: Your Honor, may I say something? 5 6 THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Stepp, I want to hear from 7 you. Regarding Gordon Hall, I mean, I was 8 MR. STEPP: not here at their initial appearance. And when the Court 9 10 appointed the public defender, my review of the record 11 indicates that when -- now speaking to the Gordon Hall 12 situation, when he was brought into court in Arizona on an 13 initial appearance regarding this charge, I did some 14 checking into the documents out there. And I believe that documents were filed with the court in Arizona where he 15 16 filed a motion to discharge his count-appointed counsel 17 there. A motion has been filed here -- immediately, I 18 19 believe, if not before he arrived in South Carolina. And 20 he's filed it several other times since then. He's been 21 adamant that he did not want services of the 22 court-appointed counsel. And I would say that I have met 23 with him and I have tried to tell him that my appointment 24 comes from the order of the court. And I'm in it until 25 the Court says I'm not. And I've tried to explain that to

him.

And hearing what he's said here today about the issue of him representing himself, he obviously has a theory of the defense of the case. And that theory may very well disagree with what I, as a licensed attorney who has obligations not only to him but as an officer of the court, we may have different opinions about whether there's any legal basis that I, as an attorney could advance those issues. And he -- from what I hear him saying to the Court today, it sounds like he has his own theories and that is probably fine. But I don't want to be stepping on his toes in the courtroom.

I would just say, Judge, at some point if the Court is inclined to let them represent themselves that our role or my role needs to be defined so that he understands it and I understand it. Because I'm concerned, I would just throw this out there, that if I'm sitting at the table with him as standby counsel, he may lose the right to have his appearance in front of a jury be it's him versus the United States or the United States versus him instead of him having somebody sitting beside him who that jury doesn't know who that person is, why they're there, why they're not speaking, and if they are speaking, what are they talking about. I'm just saying that, Judge, that he's made it clear I think today and

previously that he did not want the services of at least the public defender. And again, I've got this large --

THE COURT: When you say a jury not understanding why they're speaking -- you're assuming that you would be at counsel table as standby counsel.

MR. STEPP: And I thought I heard the Court say that was an option that we would be there.

THE COURT: But we could work out those parameters because it may be that you'd be sitting behind them and not have counsel at table.

MR. STEPP: And I know there's different ways to do it. I've been down this road before. And I've sat outside in the audience and if they want me they can, you know, talk to me on the break or something.

THE COURT: I believe that if that were going to be the case that we would put in an order about what standby counsel is to do and negotiate the terms of that agreement as well.

MR. STEPP: And I would say, just to follow up on what he said, all of the documents that -- I filed no documents with the Court. I think the record would show that any filings have come from Gordon Hall. And he hasn't consulted me ahead of time. And that's the way he wants to do it. And that's fine.

But it's just -- he has operated on the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

14

17

18

21

assumption that I'm not his lawyer. He doesn't want me to be his lawyer. And he's been very respectful to me in talking with me, but he's been pretty -- very clear about that situation. THE COURT: Okay. Here's what I'm going do now. Madam Clerk, give me what I perceive will be my calendar so I can look at my next available date for the Faretta hearing. MR. GORDON HALL: Will we have a chance to speak before we complete here today, Judge? 10 THE COURT: I'm sorry? 12 MR. GORDON HALL: Will you allow us a chance to 13 speak? THE COURT: Okay. One moment. 15 Okay. The Faretta hearing in which we will 16 discuss and inform you of your rights, of your ability to proceed as counsel and place you under oath at that time will be October 9 at 2 o'clock. 19 And then, right now I'm going to advise 20 Mr. Stepp and Ms. Salvini that you will remain on the case as standby counsel. So you are responsible for holding on 22 to all of the discovery. 23 I am also going to advise Mr. Gordon Hall and 24 Mr. Benton Hall that because I have not totally terminated the representation of Mr. Stepp and Ms. Salvini, there 25

shall be no more filings with the Court until we determine how you are going to be represented before the Court. I have, respectfully, voluminous documents indicating what you believe your position will be and how you wish to proceed in your defense. You have adequately stated on the record in your voluminous filings what your intention is with respect to how you wish to proceed in this defense. So the Court doesn't need to receive any other such documents in that regard.

I have not terminated this counsel right now. They are merely serving as standby counsel for the opportunity for you ask questions of them or correspond with them as you deem appropriate. Counsel does not have to confer with you anymore because you've indicated that you no longer desire for them to represent you. So they would only be responding to any inquiry or any communications that you set forth and will hold on to all the discovery at this point because I do not believe it is appropriate to turn over that discovery to you at this time.

MR. GORDON HALL: I conditionally accept that offer. And I do appreciate your very kind offer to have a public benefit. However, said public benefit would prejudice my right to resolve the matter. And I conditionally accept again under the previous stated

conditional acceptance that this entire hearing today, including the fact that plaintiff's acceptance of my tender, our tender which has satisfied and discharged plaintiff's claim --

THE COURT: I understand that you are continuing to assert that position. And I've heard it several times in the hearing. And it's -- and several times indicated in your documents. So I don't need to hear that anymore at this proceeding. I believe you have well protected your record in that regard by your many filings and then your many statements on the record for that same type of defense.

So next we will also inform you of what will be the potential trial date in this matter. So let me hear issues with respect to their speedy trial.

MR. BENTON HALL: Excuse me, Your Honor, excuse me.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. BENTON HALL: As a point of order, are you -- are you obligating us to perform with standby counsel? Are you compelling us --

THE COURT: I have not compelled that yet.

That's the purpose of the October 9 hearing, for me to determine if I am going to allow you to proceed to represent yourself. Because you have indicated an intent

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to terminate Ms. Salvini and Mr. Stepp, I am acknowledging that that is your desire. And until we resolve whether or not you are going to proceed on your own, I am telling them to remain as standby counsel in this case so that they will hold on to the discovery. They will be there, available for any questions or to the extent that you wish to have them assist you in negotiations with the Government. Should you decide between now and then that you wish to enter into any type of plea arrangement or anything else, then they're available to assist you in that regard with your communications with the Government. Because they are still in the case in a capacity of standby counsel, there shall be no more filings with this Court. Because you have adequately and duly informed the Court of your desire to present these various defenses with respect to your notices of default, notice of fraud on the court, conditional acceptance, et cetera. So those are adequately represented. And you've also adequately stated that on the record. MR. GORDON HALL: Are you denying our right to make filings in this court case?

THE COURT: There's no need to make anymore filings between now and October 9 if they are going to be of a similar nature is what I'm saying. Those --

MR. GORDON HALL: I appreciate --

1 THE COURT: -- have already been represented in 2 the record. MR. GORDON HALL: So in other words, you are not 3 4 denying my right to make filings in this court case? this court? 5 6 THE COURT: Well, right now they're -- I have 7 standby counsel --MR. GORDON HALL: Again, standby counsel is 8 accepted under the previous things. And are you 9 10 compelling --11 THE COURT: I understand. But what I'm trying 12 to prevent right now is that I have not decided that you can represent yourself right now. And standby counsel is 13 14 to be whom you can communicate with if you desire. 15 right now, we've already responded to your various 16 filings. And if all you're going to do is be repetitive 17 in presenting these types of defenses, you've already 18 protected your record in that regard. You've protected it 19 orally here today. You've also given me voluminous 20 filings indicating your intent to proceed forward with these defenses. The Court will deal with that at a later 21 22 time. But right now I don't need anymore filings in that 23 regard. 24 MR. GORDON HALL: I appreciate that I've been 25 voluminous in these -- protecting my position in the

filings. However, it seems that you're -- the Court intends to compel a benefit, a public benefit, which will prejudice my rights. And on that basis --

THE COURT: I don't understand what you mean by compel a benefit. We are going to offer you due process at a later hearing in which I will determine your ability to proceed for yourself. There will be a formal hearing that I will deal with.

MR. GORDON HALL: And you're saying I'm indicating, Judge, am I not, that you have compelled standby counsel, which does prejudice my rights to provide the remedy which has been provided and to accept said standby counsel, would it not prejudice my remedy?

THE COURT: No, it's not. Because they are going to hold on to the discovery in this case until we make a decision about your ability to proceed on your own.

MR. GORDON HALL: I don't need -- excuse me,

Judge, thank you. I don't need them to hold on to

discovery from the plaintiff in light of the previous

stated facts. And as you indicated, my continuing

objection, it's not so much objection, my continuing

conditional acceptance and my right to have -- again,

asking, are you suggesting that my right to receive the

benefit of the contract is to be denied?

THE COURT: I haven't suggested anything. This

will be all determined at a later date. That's what I'm telling you. We're going to proceed with the Faretta hearing. I've heard all the concerns in that regard so let's move forward.

I need to hear from the Government with respect to potential trial dates and the speedy trial clock.

MR. WATKINS: Your Honor, one issue the Government has, and I have currently some time ago disclosed to Mr. Stepp and Ms. Salvini notice of expert testimony that the Government will offer at the trial dealing with the last counts of the indictment, the fictitious instruments. That gentleman's name is Bill Kerr. He's a former officer with the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency that will testify as to the invalidity of the instruments that the Halls presented to the Court.

He, Your Honor, has let the Government know that he has been -- his wife has had an illness and she has been diagnosed and he had to put back his hip replacement surgery for early October. Because of the pending medical proceedings that he's had scheduled, he is not available to travel to testify until after November 21st of this year. He indicated that an early December trial date, for example, would be no problem, if Your Honor has that available. But he has indicated to me that from

November 21 on, he expects to be available.

And again, the Government's already given notice to the defendants through their counsel of this use of expert testimony. So the Government would respectfully request that any trial be set so our expert could travel and testify.

THE COURT: Okay. I believe that sounds like a very legitimate reason and for good cause I would continue this trial until a date in December. Madam Clerk, the second week in December?

THE CLERK: The trial would be December 9th through the 13th. And jury selection would be November 25th.

THE COURT: Okay. So if the defendants decide to not plead, then the trial will be December 9 for that week, and then longer if necessary. And then jury selection November 25th.

Mr. Stepp?

MR. STEPP: One thing, Judge. I have a pending matter in front of Judge Lewis in Spartanburg. A co-defendant was trying to nail down a set date for trial. And December came up as the time when he had suggested. And at the time I last discussed that with him last week or the week before, and I told him it didn't matter to me. And I just need to look and see when that is.

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	MR. STEPP: I think it may be like the 4th or
3	5th of December but I'm not really sure. And I'll I
4	can take that up with the clerk.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Is that a matter that would
6	continue for days or just a day or what?
7	MR. STEPP: It would probably be two, maybe
8	three, tops. It is just hard to tell.
9	THE COURT: Okay. And we can adjust our date to
10	begin sometime during that week.
11	MR. GORDON HALL: These attorneys have been
12	terminated, Judge.
13	THE COURT: They have not been terminated, they
14	are standby counsel.
15	MR. GORDON HALL: And they have been terminated
16	as stand and let the record show they're terminated as
17	standby counsel also.
18	THE COURT: That is your request. The Court has
19	not terminated them as standby counsel.
20	MR. GORDON HALL: This being my court, then I
21	I give notice that they are hereby terminated as standby
22	counsel.
23	THE COURT: That is your request. Okay.
24	MR. GORDON HALL: It's not a request. It's an
25	order.

```
1
               THE COURT: We have a schedule. He can be right
 2
     now --
               MR. GORDON HALL: I conditionally accept any
 3
     standby counsel.
 4
               THE COURT: Okay. I've heard from you.
 5
                                                        That's
 6
     enough.
             That's enough. I've heard from you.
 7
               Mr. Stepp, my clerk has checked, and right now
     Judge Lewis doesn't have anything scheduled. But that
 8
     doesn't mean that she's not waiting to hear from you all.
9
10
     0kay?
11
               MR. STEPP:
                          Okay.
12
               THE COURT: All right. Is there any other
     matter for this Court take up today then?
13
               MR. WATKINS: No, Your Honor. I think that's
14
     all from the Government.
15
16
               THE COURT: Okay.
17
               MS. SALVINI: Your Honor, that's all from
18
     counsel.
               MR. GORDON HALL: We have a comment.
19
20
               THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Stepp?
21
               MR. STEPP:
                          Judge, Gordon Hall has made it
     abundantly clear that he, obviously, doesn't wish for me
22
     to be in the case. And I understand that's the Court's
23
     ultimate decision. So I do not intend to file any
24
25
     documents on his behalf because that is -- he has not
```

asked me to, he doesn't want me to. He's stated that, so I do not intend to file.

will be filing any documents between now and the October 9 hearing. You're simply to hold on to that discovery, be available should they desire to consult with you, should they desire to seek your assistance for entering into any plea negotiations. But I expect that any communications from this point forward would come from them to you. You would not need to communicate further with them, because they have indicated their desire to terminate counsel. Right now I'm just trying to keep everything in that status quo eventually until we can come to the Faretta hearing.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}.$ STEPP: Thank you. I appreciate the clarification.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. BENTON HALL: Let the record show that as a point of order, that standby counsel is terminated and that the plaintiff has not given just reason as to their acceptance of the tender and why they haven't fulfilled on their obligations thereto with respect to the contract and record made manifest before this Court and there being no record in opposition to that record in my authorization to authorize the defendant to plea and discharge doesn't make

```
1
     any future hearings moot, and that I don't now move this
 2
     Court to issue a final judgment in default order in
     accordance with the record thereto.
 3
 4
               THE COURT: The Court will take up any of these
     substantive matters with respect to whether or not your
 5
 6
     indictments will be dismissed at a later date.
               MR. BENTON HALL: I didn't come here for that.
 7
     I came here for the plaintiff to appear and show cause why
 8
     they're just --
9
               THE COURT: But that is not what the Court set
10
     this proceeding for. That will be dealt with at a later
11
12
     date. Court is adjourned.
13
               MR. WATKINS: Thank you, Your Honor.
14
15
     I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from
16
     the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
17
         s/Karen E. Martin
                                                9/29/2013
18
19
     Karen E. Martin, RMR, CRR
                                         Date
20
21
22
23
24
25
```